Observational inventory of the ISM of galaxies at the cosmic noon



David Elbaz, Benjamin Magnelli, Carlos Gomez Guijarro CEA Saclay



Galaxy formation: Cosmological context

1902: gravitational instability pressure vs gravitation / James
Jeans + 1964: shear/diff.rotation Toomre Q parameter
1933: dark matter / Zwicky
1981: inflation → galaxy seeds / Guth
1982-84: CDM + seeds → galaxies + mergers
/ Peebles ; Blumenthal, Faber, Primack, & Rees

Bottom-up hierarchical galaxy formation



Galaxy formation: Open questions

Some tensions with the Bottom-up hierarchical galaxy formation model

- galaxy bimodality: nearly 50% mass of stars in dead regions !
- galaxy downsizing: most massive galaxies form first, then died !
- SMBH paradigm: dead regions all possess a SMBH, universal $M_{BH}/M_{\star} \sim 1/1000$!
- Main sequence paradigm: minor role of mergers in hierarchical scenario + not enough infall

Questions:

• do we understand what causes star-formation ?

Jeans instability vs multi-phase ISM physics (turbulence, B, stellar and SN feedback, AGN feedback): is star-formation mostly natural, i.e. gravity driven, or triggered, e.g. by mergers ?

do we understand what ends star-formation ?

natural gas exhaustion vs feedback, intergalactic infall vs no infall

• how did BH grow in mass and how did the affect galaxies ?

accretion vs early massive seeds, positive vs negative vs null feedback

Questions:

- do we understand what causes star-formation ?
- do we understand what ends star-formation ?
- how did BH grow in mass and how did the affect galaxies ?

We do not understand the nature of dark energy and dark matter, but we believe that we understand how they affect the dynamics of the Universe.

We understand the nature of baryons, but we still do not understand how galaxies form and form their stars...

Observational inventory of the ISM of galaxies at the cosmic noon

Observational inventory of the ISM of galaxies at the cosmic noon

Part I : A strongly evolving cosmic star formation history

Part II : The main sequence of star-forming galaxies

Part III : The gas properties of galaxies

Part IV : The quenching of star formation

David Elbaz, Benjamin Magnelli, Carlos Gomez Guijarro CEA Saclay

Part I:

The cosmic history of star formation



7



long-lived low-mass stars which radiate mostly in the NIR, dominate the stellar mass

... BUT ...



9



star-forming region

Star





A strongly evolving cosmic star formation history Part I:



Star



Lookback time (Gyr) 12 10 8 Formation Rate Densit SFR / comoving-Mpc³ 0 -0.4log ψ (M $_{\odot}$ year $^{-1}$ Mpc $^{-3}$) IR + UV -0.8 -1.2 -1.6 Madau & Dickinson+14 -2.4 <u></u>0 2 3 5 7 8 6 Redshift

- Significant evolution of the SFRD, peaks at *z* ~ 2
- at $z \leq 3$, ~80% of star formation is dust-enshrouded









- Significant evolution of the SFRD, peaks at z ~ 2
- at z ≤ 3, ~80% of the cosmic SFRD is dust-enshrouded
- ~50% of the present-day stars have formed at $z \gtrsim 1$

Visible side



Dust-obscured







Madau & Dickinson 2014, ARAA

The most massive galaxies in the early universe are optically dark



space density: $n \sim 10^{-5} \mbox{ Mpc}^{-3}$, $\mbox{ SFR} \sim 200 \mbox{ }M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$

Wang, Schreiber, Elbaz +19, Nature

- Dust attenuation affects galaxies even at the highest redshifts, in **massive** galaxies
- We have most probably reached a **robust census** of the global star-formation history, but the high-z dust-obscured massive galaxies remain largely unknown
- \rightarrow deep gravitational potential means metals and dust are locked within galaxies
- → we need a third dimension : galaxy mass

Part II :

Adding the third dimension of galaxy mass

The main sequence framework

Tight (dispersion ~ 0.3 dex) correlation between the stellar mass and SFR of galaxies, a.k.a. the main sequence (MS) of star-forming galaxies



E.g., Noeske+07; Elbaz+07; Daddi+07; Karim+11; Rodighiero+11; Elbaz+11; Whitaker+12; Magnelli+14; Speagle+14; Schreiber+15; Leslie+20 18

Tight (dispersion ~ 0.3 dex) correlation between the stellar mass and SFR of galaxies, a.k.a. the main sequence (MS) of star-forming galaxies



E.g., Noeske+07; Elbaz+07; Daddi+07; Karim+11; Rodighiero+11; Elbaz+11; Whitaker+12; Magnelli+14; Speagle+14; Schreiber+15; Leslie+20 19

Tight (dispersion ~ 0.3 dex) correlation between the stellar mass and SFR of galaxies, a.k.a. the main sequence (MS) of star-forming galaxies



E.g., Noeske+07; Elbaz+07; Daddi+07; Karim+11; Rodighiero+11; Elbaz+11; Whitaker+12; Magnelli+14; Speagle+14; Schreiber+15; Leslie+20 20

Tight (dispersion ~ 0.3 dex) correlation between the stellar mass and SFR of galaxies, a.k.a. the main sequence (MS) of star-forming galaxies



E.g., Noeske+07; Elbaz+07; Daddi+07; Karim+11; Rodighiero+11; Elbaz+11; Whitaker+12; Magnelli+14; Speagle+14; Schreiber+15; Leslie+20 21



• This tight correlation is observed up to $z \sim 2$

E.g., Noeske+07; Elbaz+07; Daddi+07; Karim+11; Rodighiero+11; Elbaz+11; Whitaker+12; Magnelli+14; Speagle+14; Schreiber+15; Leslie+20 22



• This tight correlation is observed up to $z \sim 2$

- The normalisation of the MS increases $\times 10$ between $z \sim 0$ and $z \sim 2$
 - ~80% of present-day stars have been formed "in" the MS (Schreiber+15)
 - Slope flatten at high masses and z < 2, sign of an onset of quenching (?)

E.g., Noeske+07; Elbaz+07; Daddi+07; Karim+11; Rodighiero+11; Elbaz+11; Whitaker+12; Magnelli+14; Speagle+14; Schreiber+15; Leslie+20 23



- This tight correlation is observed up to $z \sim 2$

- The normalisation of the MS increases $\times 10$ between $z \sim 0$ and $z \sim 2$
 - ~80% of present-day stars have been formed "in" the MS (Schreiber+15)
 - Slope flatten at high masses and z < 2, sign of an onset of quenching (?)

E.g., Noeske+07; Elbaz+07; Daddi+07; Karim+11; Rodighiero+11; Elbaz+11; Whitaker+12; Magnelli+14; Speagle+14; Schreiber+15; Leslie+20 24

Part II : ... but anyhow what is a starburst ?

t(gas consumption) = Mgas / SFR = 1 / SFE (SF efficiency) Definition #1: t(gas consumption) << t(Hubble) => starburst local LIRGs: t(gas consumption) ~ 1 Gyr !



• The Antennae:

 $L_{IR} = 1.1 \times 10^{11} L_{\odot} \rightarrow \text{ SFR} = 19 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$

 $M(H_2) = 3.9 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$

Molecular gas exhausted in ~200 Myr



- The Super-Antennae:
 - $L_{IR} = 1.1 \times 10^{12} L_{\odot} --> SFR = 190 M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$

 $M(H_2) = 3 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$

Molecular gas exhausted in ~160 Myr

Definition #2: exceptional event, i.e., b > 3 b = SFR/(SFR) = birth-rate parameter

Good proxy = specific SFR (sSFR) when one assumes the same age, i.e., sSFR = SFR/M $_{\star}$ = SFR / (SFR) × 1 / age

- MW \rightarrow SFR / M_{*} ~ 0.06 Gyr⁻¹
- M82 \rightarrow SFR / M_{*} ~ 0.5 Gyr⁻¹

Arp220 \rightarrow SFR / M_{*} ~ 10 Gyr⁻¹

- $\tau \approx 20 \text{ Gyr}$ (time to double M_{*})
- **τ ≈ 2 Gyr**
- $\tau \approx 0.1 \text{ Gyr}$



- This tight correlation is observed up to $z \sim 2$

- The normalisation of the MS increases $\times 10$ between $z \sim 0$ and $z \sim 2$
 - ~80% of present-day stars have been formed "in" the MS (Schreiber+15)
 - Slope flatten at high masses and z < 2, sign of an onset of quenching (?)
 - Starbursts (SBs) are outliers of the MS,
 i.e., distance(MS) ~ SFR(t) / (SFR)

E.g., Noeske+07; Elbaz+07; Daddi+07; Karim+11; Rodighiero+11; Elbaz+11; Whitaker+12; Magnelli+14; Speagle+14; Schreiber+15; Leslie+20 27



- MS galaxies have disk-like morphologies while quiescent galaxies (QGs) have bulge-like morphologies
- Above-MS galaxies are better described by de Vaucouleurs profiles, suggesting a rapid build-up of the central mass concentration in these starbursting outliers

E.g., Wuyts+11, Van der Wel+14, Shibuya+15, Barro+17, Suess+19, Förster-Schreiber & Wuyts+21





- MS galaxies have disk-like morphologies while quiescent galaxies (QGs) have bulge-like morphologies
- Above-MS galaxies are better described by de Vaucouleurs profiles, suggesting a rapid build-up of the central mass concentration in these starbursting outliers

E.g., Wuyts+11, Van der Wel+14, Shibuya+15, Barro+17, Suess+19, Förster-Schreiber & Wuyts+21

Part II: The main sequence of star-forming galaxies -- Morphology

 $\log (R_e^{optical} / \text{kpc})$



- Size of MS galaxies growth with cosmic time, in line with an inside out disk growth (?)
 - · Above-MS galaxies are slightly more compact.
- QGs are more compact and their size have a much steeper stellar mass dependency E.g., **Wuyts+11**, Van der Wel+14, Shibuya+15, Barro+17, Suess+19, Förster-Schreiber & Wuyts+21

Part II: The main sequence of star-forming galaxies -- Morphology



E.g., Wuyts+11, Van der Wel+14, Shibuya+15, Barro+17, Suess+19, Förster-Schreiber & Wuyts+21

Part II: The main sequence of star-forming galaxies -- Morphology

 $\log (R_e^{optical} / \text{kpc})$



- Size of MS galaxies growth with cosmic time, in line with an inside out disk growth (?)
 - Above-MS galaxies are slightly more compact.
- QGs are more compact and their size have a much steeper stellar mass dependency E.g., **Wuyts+11**, Van der Wel+14, Shibuya+15, Barro+17, Suess+19, Förster-Schreiber & Wuyts+21



 Dust obscuration increase with ∆MS and stellar masses but remains relatively constant with redshift → Considering the strong decrease of metallicity with redshift, this suggest that high-z MS galaxies have ISM conditions moving closer to those of local starbursts.

E.g., Wuyts+11, Whitaker+12, Pannella+15, Förster-Schreiber & Wuyts+21

Part II: The main sequence of star-forming galaxies -- Dust temperature



MS galaxies with vastly different SFR exhibit similar dust temperature. This temperature increases only slightly with redshift

• SBs have higher dust temperatures, (suggesting higher SF efficiencies compared to MS) with little redshift evolution

T_{dust} and IR/UV suggest that on global scales, the properties of (massive) MS galaxies does not evolve significantly with SFR and redshift (~filling factor of relatively similar star-forming regions)

E.g., Magdis+12, Magnelli+14, Béthermin+15, Schreiber+18; Franco+20

Part II: The main sequence of star-forming galaxies -- Dynamics

$H\alpha$ velocity fields (VLT-KMOS, near-IR, $H\alpha$ at 2µm at z=2)



• Rotational support ($\boldsymbol{v}/\boldsymbol{\sigma}$) increases with mass and decreases with redshift

For $\boldsymbol{Q} \sim 1$ disks (Toomre)

$$\boldsymbol{v}$$
 / $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ~ 1 / $\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathrm{gas}}$

→ high-redshift MS galaxies are gasrich (see later) and thus have a more turbulent ISM

E.g., Genzel+11, Wisnioski+15+19, Förster-Schreiber+18, Förster-Schreiber & Wuyts+21


• Rotational support $(\boldsymbol{v}/\boldsymbol{\sigma})$ increases with mass and decreases with redshift

For **Q** ~ 1 disks (Toomre)

$$\boldsymbol{v}$$
 / $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ~ 1 / $\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathrm{gas}}$

→ high-redshift MS galaxies are gasrich (see later) and thus have a more turbulent ISM

E.g., Genzel+11, Wisnioski+15+19, Förster-Schreiber+18, Förster-Schreiber & Wuyts+21

Part II: The main sequence of star-forming galaxies -- Mass vs. metallicity relation



• Metallicity of MS galaxies increases with mass and decreases with redshift

(ightarrow Metal enrichment by newly formed stars)

 SBs show lower metallicity than MS galaxies of similar mass and redshift
(→ inflow of pristine gas/HI (?))

→ The slope of the mass-metallicity relation can only be reproduced by models with efficient outflows in low mass galaxies (e.g., Davé+11)



 Radial metallicity gradient are typically flat but with wide spread

 \rightarrow Metal redistribution via outflows and turbulence/gas transport is important

Part II: The main sequence of star-forming galaxies



Part II: The main sequence of star-forming galaxies



• What is the main driver regulating/triggering star formation in MS and SB galaxies ? (Part III)

Part II: The main sequence of star-forming galaxies



• What is the main driver regulating/triggering star formation in MS and SB galaxies ? (Part III)

 Which mechanism(s) quenches star formation and yields to the build up of the "red sequence" ? (Part IV)

Part III :

gas is the main driver of galaxy formation & evolution

 \rightarrow adding the fourth dimension of the gas content & state

Gas scaling relations

Part III : The gas properties of MS galaxies -- The Schmidt-Kennicutt relation



The tight correlation between Σ_{SFR} and Σ_{H2} (the so-called Schmidt-Kennicutt relation) suggests that the molecular gas phase is key to understand star formation

Due to the absence of strong dipole moment the H₂ gas is mostly traced indirectly via CO emission, i.e., the second most abundant molecules in giant molecular clouds

44

E.g., Kennicutt+98, Wong & Blitz+02, Schuster+07, **Bigiel+08**, Leroy+13, Momose+13, Miura+14, Shetty+14, de los Reyes & Kennicutt+18, Wilson+19, Wang & Hwang+20, Ellison+21, Pessa+21, Sánchez+21

Part III: The gas properties of MS galaxies -- CO SLED



- ALMA provides detailed constraints on the molecular gas properties (*T*_{ex}, *n*) via (complete) CO spectral line energy density.
- MS galaxies exhibit somewhat warmer and denser molecular gas properties than the MW, more consistent with that observed in local starbursts
- high-z SBs exhibit highly-excited, nearlythermalized up to J = 6 CO SLEDs

Part III: The gas properties of MS galaxies -- CO SLED



- ALMA provides detailed constraints on the molecular gas properties (T_{ex}, n) via (complete) CO spectral line energy density.
- MS galaxies exhibit somewhat warmer and denser molecular gas properties than the MW, more consistent with that observed in local starbursts
- high-z SBs exhibit highly-excited, nearlythermalized up to J = 6 CO SLEDs

 \rightarrow high-z MS galaxies have more excited CO SLEDs consistent with their high SFR surface densities

Part III : The gas properties of MS galaxies -- Dust-based molecular gas masses

Unfortunately, CO observations of high-z MS galaxies still require hour(s) of observing time with ALMA. \rightarrow Alternative proxy of the (molecular) gas: the dust-based approach (~mins with ALMA, albeit T_{dust})



E.g., Leroy+11, Magdis+11+12, Magnelli+12, Scoville+14+16, Schinnerer+16, Liu+19, T.M. Wang in prep.

Part III : The gas properties of MS galaxies -- (Molecular) Gas scaling relation



E.g., Daddi+08+10, Tacconi+10+16+18+20, **Genzel+10+15**, Magdis+12, Saintonge+13, Magnelli+14+20, Bethermin+15, Schinnerer+16, Scoville+16+17, Liu+19, T.M. Wang in prep.

Part III : The gas properties of MS galaxies -- (Molecular) Gas scaling relation



E.g., Daddi+08+10, Tacconi+10+16+18+20, **Genzel+10+15**, Magdis+12, Saintonge+13, Magnelli+14+20, Bethermin+15, **Schinnerer+16**, Scoville+16+17, Liu+19, T.M. Wang in prep.

Part III : The gas properties of MS galaxies -- (Molecular) Gas scaling relation



E.g., Daddi+08+10, Tacconi+10+16+18+20, **Genzel+10+15**, Magdis+12, Saintonge+13, Magnelli+14+20, Bethermin+15, **Schinnerer+16**, Scoville+16+17, Liu+19, T.M. Wang in prep.

Part III : The gas properties of MS galaxies -- Cosmic Molecular Gas Mass Density



Also on global, cosmic-average scales, the evolution of the SFR density of the Universe seems driven by the availability of gas

At any given epoch, the average depletion time of the molecular gas reservoir of galaxies is ~600 Myr

E.g., Decarli+16,19, Magnelli+20, Walter+21

Part III : The gas properties of MS galaxies -- Cosmic Dust Mass Density



Very rapid build up of the cosmic dust mass density, suggesting very efficient dust yield at early time (SNe, AGB and ISM growth)





 The tightness and time-independent shape of the MS suggests long star formation duty cycles (>few Gyrs)



- The tightness and time-independent shape of the MS suggests long star formation duty cycles (>few Gyrs)
- Short gas depletion time (~1 Gyr) suggests constant gas supply from the IGM/Halo





- The tightness and time-independent shape of the MS suggests long star formation duty cycles (>few Gyrs)
- Short gas depletion time (~1 Gyr) suggests constant gas supply from the IGM/Halo
- The (mostly) time-independent SF efficiency suggests that the increase of the cosmic SFRD from z~0 to z~2 is mainly driven by an increased supply of fresh gas





- The tightness and time-independent shape of the MS suggests long star formation duty cycles (>few Gyrs)
- Short gas depletion time (~1 Gyr) suggests constant gas supply from the IGM/Halo
- The (mostly) time-independent SF efficiency suggests that the increase of the cosmic SFRD from z~0 to z~2 is mainly driven by an increased supply of fresh gas
- The MS dispersion could be due to short timescale variation (e.g. minor mergers) of the gas supply



The bulk of the SFG population seems to grow along the MS in an equilibrium of gas accretion, star formation, and gas outflows : the "gas regulator models"



- The tightness and time-independent shape of the MS suggests long star formation duty cycles (>few Gyrs)
- Short gas depletion time (~1 Gyr) suggests constant gas supply from the IGM/Halo
- The (mostly) time-independent SF efficiency suggests that the increase of the cosmic SFRD from z~0 to z~2 is mainly driven by an increased supply of fresh gas
- The MS dispersion could be due to short timescale variation (e.g. minor mergers) of the gas supply





The origin of local "red" and "dead" ellipticals still remains unknown...



The origin of local "red" and "dead" ellipticals still remains unknown...

... major mergers, triggering short-live SBs



The origin of local "red" and "dead" ellipticals still remains unknown...

... major mergers, triggering short-live SBs

... or more secular quenching mechanisms

Part IV :

gas infall is the main driver of galaxy evolution →why do galaxies become passive?... The quenching of star formation

Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- Size evolution





• Stellar sizes increase with stellar mass

SFGs: $R_e \, \mathbf{X}_{M^{\star^{0.22}}}$

QGs: R_e **X** M*^{0.75}

E.g., Kormendy & Bender+96, Shen+03, Ferguson+04; Trujillo+06+07, Buitrago+08, Cimatti+08, van Dokkum+08, Williams+09; Conselice+11, Barro+13, van der Wel+12+14, Mowla+19, Suess+19

Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- Size evolution



Stellar sizes increase with stellar mass SFGs: $R_e \propto M_*^{0.22}$

Stellar sizes decrease with redshift SFGs: $R_e \propto (1 + z)^{-0.75}$ QGs: $R_e \propto (1 + z)^{-1.48}$

Stronger size evolution for QGs

E.g., Kormendy & Bender+96, Shen+03, Ferguson+04; Trujillo+06+07, Buitrago+08, Cimatti+08, van Dokkum+08, Williams+09; Conselice+11, Barro+13, van der Wel+12+14, Mowla+19, Suess+19

Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- Size evolution



Stellar sizes increase with stellar mass
SFGs: R_e X M_{*}^{0.22}

QGs: $R_e \propto M_{\star^{0.75}}$

• Stellar sizes decrease with redshift SFGs: $R_e \propto (1 + z)^{-0.75}$

QGs: $R_e \propto (1 + z)^{-1.48}$

• QGs are denser than SFGs

E.g., Kauffmann+03, Brinchmann+04, Cheung+12, Fang+13, van Dokkum+14, Whitaker+17, Barro+17, Lee+18, Gómez-Guijarro+19, Suess+21 65



• Stellar sizes increase with stellar mass

SFGs: R_e X M^{*0.22}

QGs: $R_e \propto M_{\star^{0.75}}$

• Stellar sizes decrease with redshift

SFGs: $R_e \propto (1 + z)^{-0.75}$

QGs: $R_e \propto (1 + z)^{-1.48}$

- QGs are denser than SFGs
- The build-up of compact stellar cores precedes galaxy quenching

E.g., Kauffmann+03, Brinchmann+04, Cheung+12, Fang+13, van Dokkum+14, Whitaker+17, Barro+17, Lee+18, Gómez-Guijarro+19, Suess+21₆₆

Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- Rapid vs secular evolution



The origin of local "red" and "dead" ellipticals still remains unknown...

... major mergers, triggering short-live SBs

... or more secular quenching mechanisms

Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- Compaction



- Rapid: Mergers are capable of triggering short-lived starburst episodes of compact star formation
- Secular: Accretion and efficient gas transport inwards builds up compact stellar cores
 - Either rapid or secular, quenching could be linked to the halo mass

E.g., Cimatti+08, Fu+13, Ivison+13, Dekel+13, Zolotov+15, **Tacchella+16**, Toft+14, Barro+17, Elbaz+18, Gómez-Guijarro+18+19, Puglisi+19+21, Jiménez-Andrade+19, Franco+20

Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- Mechanisms

What causes quenching in massive galaxies?



Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- Halo mass





Either cosmological inflows or cooling from halo are stopped, galaxy gas reservoir is now no longer replenished

Galaxy leaves main sequence

Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- Environment

Differential effects of environment on the fraction of galaxies that are actively forming stars compared with those that are passive

Environmental effects important in satellite galaxies



Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- AGN and SF



- No apparent link between X-ray and IR luminosities suggests that global star formation is decoupled from nuclear activity (although contradictory literature results)
 - AGN-host co-evolution

E.g., **Mullaney+12**, Rosario+12, Harrison+12, Hickox+14, Heckman+14, Stanley+15
Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- Outflows



• SF-driven outflows correlate with star formation properties (MS offset)

 AGN-driven outflows depend strongly on stellar mass independently of the level of star formation

 Role of feedback in keeping the halo hot, preventing further gas accretion and/or cooling (?)

E.g., Fabian+12, Heckman & Best+14, Förster-Schreiber & Wuyts+21

Part IV : The quenching of star formation -- AGN and stellar feedback



- Overall low efficiency in converting baryons into stars
- Efficiency peaks around MW-like galaxies
- Even lower efficiency at the high-mass end (AGN feedback) and low-mass end (stellar feedback)

Observational inventory of the ISM of galaxies at the cosmic noon

David Elbaz, Benjamin Magnelli, Carlos Gomez Guijarro / CEA Saclay

Part I : A strongly evolving **cosmic star formation** history

- Dust attenuation affects galaxies even at the highest redshifts, in **massive** galaxies
- **Global but incomplete census** of the global star-formation history: massive galaxies Key dimension : galaxy mass
- Part II : main sequence of star-forming galaxies = universal scaling law, secular evolution
- Most stars formed / universal star formation efficiency, disk-like, **turbulence** increases with z
- Hubble sequence already in place at z~2.5
- **Minor role of merger**-driven starbursts / self-regulation of star-formation

Key dimension : the gas content controls cosmic star-formation

Part III : The **gas properties** of galaxies

- Gas in normal MS SF galaxies **analog to local starbursts**: temperature, density, turbulence
- **Duty cycle** = 600 Myr only...

Key dimension : intergalactic gas infall

Part IV : The **quenching** of star formation

- high-z galaxies exhibit more compact SF, progenitors of compact ellipticals identified
- Outflows ubiquitous but don't quench, quenching by **starvation / gravitational heating** Key dimension : **dark matter halo mass / environment**

FUTURE

Observations:

ALMA \rightarrow gas properties of high-z galaxies, census on distant massive galaxies, origin of compactness

JWST \rightarrow high-z obscured galaxies, formation of optically-dark massive galaxies, first galaxies

SKA \rightarrow HI contribution \rightarrow key role of circumgalactic gas

Euclid \rightarrow connexion with structure formation (proto-clusters) and DM halo mass

Simulations :

How to reconcile strong feedback needed / models with high gas content?

Need to simulate galaxies with their circumgalactic HI

If AGNs do not quench star-formation \rightarrow what is the main cause of starvation?



(I) A strongly evolving **cosmic star formation** history Key: **galaxy mass** (II) main sequence of star-forming galaxies Key: gas content (III) The gas properties of galaxies Key: intergalactic gas infall (IV) The quenching of star formation Key: dark matter halo mass, environment



ISM of galaxies at the cosmic noon

David Elbaz, Benjamin Magnelli, Carlos Gomez Guijarro **CEA Saclay**

JWST SKA Euclid + simulations